

Annex I: Methodology of the e-Business Survey 2003

Background

Most of the data presented in this report are results of a decision-maker survey about e-business in European enterprises in 2003. This is an annual survey carried out by the *e-Business W@tch* – the first one took place in 2002 –, constituting a cornerstones of its monitoring activities. For organisational and contractual reasons, the *e-Business Survey 2003* was split into two parts. The first part consisted of 3,515 telephone interviews with decision-makers in enterprises from five EU countries which were conducted in March 2003. The second part had a scope of 4570 interviews in the EU, 100 interviews in Norway and 2632 interviews in the 10 new EU Member States (NMS) and was conducted in November 2003. The questionnaires used in the two parts of the survey were largely the same. A few new questions were added in the second part in order to cover issues of special topical interest for policy.

Field work

The field work of the surveys in the EU-15 and in Norway was carried out by Ipsos Germany in co-operation with its partner organisations on behalf of the *e-Business W@tch*. Field work in the 10 new Member States was carried out by NFO Aisa (Czech Republic) and its network.

Country	Organisation	Country	Organisation
Belgium	INRA Belgium, Avenue de la Couronne 159-165, 1050 Brussels	UK	Continental Research, 132-140 Goswell Road, EC1V 7DY London
Denmark	Gallup TNS Denmark, Masnedogade 22-26, 2100 Copenhagen	Norway	Norfakta Markedsanalyse, Kjøpmannsgt. 5, 7013 Trondheim
Germany	INRA Deutschland GmbH, Papenkamp 2-6, 23879 Mölln	Cyprus	Synovate (member of the Aegis Group plc), Nicosia
Greece	Synovate, 24 Ippodamou St., 11635 Athens	Czech Republik	NFO AISA s.r.o., Slezská 113, 130 00 Praha 3, Česká republika
Spain	IPSOS ECO Consulting, Avda. de Burgos, 12-8a, 28036 Madrid	Estonia	Saar Poll, Veetorni 4, 10119 Tallinn, Estonia
France	Ipsos Insight Marketing, 99, rue de l'Abbé Groult, 75739 Paris Cedex 15	Hungary	MEDIAN, Opinion and Market Research, POB 551, BUDAPEST, H-1539
Ireland	TNS mrbi, Blackrock, Co. Dublin 2	Lithuania	BALTIC SURVEYS, 6A Šermukšnių str., Vilnius LT-2001, Lithuania
Italy	Ipsos-Explorer, Via Mauro Macchi 61, 20124 Milano	Latvia	TNS – baltic data house, Kronvalda Blvd. 3 – 2, Riga LV-1010, Latvia
Netherlands	INRA in Belgium, Avenue de la Couronne 159-165, 1050 Brussels	Malta	MISCO – Market Intelligence Services Co. Ltd., Valetta
Austria	Spectra Marktforschung: Brucknerstr. 3-5/4, 4020 Linz	Poland	CASE Consumer Attitudes & Social Enquiry, ul. Nowy Świat 64, PL 00-357 Warsaw
Portugal	Ipsos Portugal, Rua Joaquim António de Alguiar 43-5.º, 1070-15 Lisbon	Slovenia	CATI – Marketing, Media and Social Research & Consulting, Tržaška 2, 1000 Ljubljana
Finland	Taloustutkimus Oy, Lemuntie 9, 00510 Helsinki	Slovakia	NFO AISA s.r.o., Slezská 113, 130 00 Praha 3, Česká republika
Sweden	GfK Sverige, Box 401, 221 00 Lund		

Interview method

The fieldwork was carried out using mostly computer-aided telephone interview (CATI) technology. Face-to-face interviews were used in Lithuania, and a mixed approach in Malta. The decision-maker in the enterprise targeted by the survey was normally the person responsible for ICT within the company, typically the IT manager. Alternatively, particularly in small enterprises without a separate IT unit, the managing director or owner was interviewed.

Population coverage and sampling

The highest level of the population for the e-Business Survey was the set of all enterprises which are active at the national territory of one of the respective countries and which have their primary business activity in one of the sectors specified by NACE Rev. 1 categories (see table). The selection and composition of sectors took into account their economic importance and the relevance of e-business activities.

The most important viewpoints used for breakdown of the population in the survey were (i) the economic activity, (ii) the national territory of the enterprise and (iii) the size in terms of employees. The survey was carried out as an enterprise survey, i.e. data collection and reporting focus on the enterprise (rather than on the establishment), defined as a business organisation of one or more establishments comprised as one legal unit.

The sample drawn was a random sample of companies from the respective sector population in each country where the respective sector was to be surveyed with the objective of fulfilling strata with respect to company size class. Strata were to include a share of at least 10% of large companies (250+ employees) per country-sector cell, 30% of medium sized enterprises (50-249 employees) and 25% of small enterprises (10-49 employees). Micro enterprises with less than 10 employees were also included in the survey. Samples were drawn locally by field work organisations based on acknowledged business directories and databases (see table).

Population coverage of the e-Business Survey (2002)			
No.	NACE Rev. 1		Sector Name
	Section	Division/Group	
01	D	17, 18, 19	Manufacture of textiles and textile products, leather and leather products
02	D	24, 25	Manufacture of chemicals and chemical products
03	D	30, 31 (except 31.3 - 31.6), 32	Manufacture of Electrical machinery and electronics
04	D	34, 35	Manufacture of transport equipment
05	D	Parts of (17-19), 20, (30-32), (34-35), 36, 45	Crafts And Trade: In addition to companies from sub-sections covered by other sectors: Manufacture of wood products; manufacture of furniture; construction and site preparation. Only enterprises with 0-49 employees.
06	G	52.11, 52.12, 52.4	Retail
07	H / I / O	55.1, 55.2, 62.1, 63.3, 92.33, 92.52, 92.53	Tourism
08	K	74	Business services
09	I / K	64.2, 72	Telecommunications and computer-related services
10	N	85.11, 85.12, 85.3	Health and social services

Country	Directory / Database	Country	Directory / Database
Austria	Herold BUSINESS MARKETING database	UK	Dun & Bradstreet
Belgium	Dun & Bradstreet	Norway	Dun & Bradstreet
Denmark	KOB (Købmandsstandens Oplysnings Bureau)	Cyprus	Census of economic activity
Germany	Heins und Partner Business Pool	Czech Republic	Merit – CDF, Meritum Software, Enterprises database 2003
Finland	Blue Book - TDC Hakernistot OY	Estonia	Estonian statistical bureau + Kredinfo (register of taxpayers)
France	IDATA, based on INSEE Siren file (the National Institute of Statistics) and other directories	Hungary	Company Information Data Store, provided by Hungarian Central Statistical office
Greece	ICAP directory (the major database for Greece)	Lithuania	Department of Statistics and National Register at Ministry of Economics
Ireland	Bill Moss	Latvia	Business Register of Republic of Latvia
Italy	Dun & Bradstreet	Malta	National Statistics Office, Employment and training corporation
Netherlands	Dun & Bradstreet	Poland	REGON (GUS) data (National register of business)
Portugal	MOPE database	Slovenia	IPIS directory, published by Noviforum (list of active Slovenian enterprises)
Spain	Dun & Bradstreet	Slovakia	Albertina, Albertina Data, Enterprises database 2003
Sweden	Swedish Post Adress Register (PAR)		

Scope of the e-Business Survey 2003: No. of interviews per country and sector

Scope	Part I (March 2003)	Part II (Nov/Dec 2003)
No. of sectors covered	7 sectors	10 sectors
No. of EU Member States involved	5 countries	25 countries
No. of sector-country-cells	35	98
No. of interviews	3515	4670 (EU+NO) + 2632 (NMS) = 7302

	Food, beverages and tobacco	Textile industries	Chemical industries	Electronics	Transport equipment	Crafts & trade (Construction ; Wood & furniture)	Retail	Tourism	ICT services	Health & social services	Business services	Total int.
Belgium			101				100				100	301
Denmark							67	67		66		200
Germany	100*	100	100*	100*	100*	100	100*	101*	100*	100	100	1101
Greece		84		76	89	75		75				399
Spain	100*	101	100*	100*	100*	108	100*	100*	100*	101	100	1110
France	100*	100	100*	100*	101*	101	101*	99*	100*	100	100	1102
Ireland			70					70	71			211
Italy	102*	100	101*	101*	100*	100	102*	102*	101*	100	101	1110
Luxembourg **												0
Netherlands		100							101	102		303
Austria					68			132		100		300
Portugal					104		100				100	304
Finland		75		75					76			226
Sweden			80	75	79						80	314
United Kingdom	100*	100	101*	101*	100*	100	101*	100*	101*	100	100	1104
Cyprus							64					64
Czech Republic			60		60			60	60	60		300
Estonia		50	50	50	21	65	50	50	50	50	50	486
Hungary				80	80						80	240
Lithuania							57					57
Latvia		51	49				51					151
Malta								51				51
Poland		80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	800
Slovenia				56				51	53	55	58	273
Slovakia		50		50			50				60	210
Norway		30					70					100
TOTAL	502	1021	992	1044	1082	729	1193	1138	993	1014	1109	10817

* interviews carried out in March 2003 ** was covered in the e-Business Survey 2002

Problems encountered

No major problems were reported by the fieldwork organisations with respect to interviewing (e.g. comprehensibility of the questionnaire, logical structure). The overall feed-back from the survey organisations was that fieldwork ran smoothly and that they had the impression that the questionnaire was well understood by most respondents. Some difficulties occurred, though, mainly with respect to the following issues:

- The main challenge was the fulfilment of quotas regarding company size-bands. In many countries, it was not possible to accomplish the objective of including a minimum share of large or even medium-sized enterprises in specific sectors. In such a case, these were replaced by interviews with smaller companies or from other sectors.
- Another well known issue in this type of survey stems from the difficulties of conducting research projects among ICT decision-makers in general. Dedicated ICT professionals are heavily researched and therefore securing their participation can be difficult. This is a particular problem in larger companies.
- In some countries it was difficult to carry out interviews within businesses and retailers not using or with a very basic use of computers, because of the number of questions on related issues. The French field work

organisation, for instance, reported that the questionnaire was too specific for some organisations, for example for small companies in the health & social services sector. These are mostly doctor's surgeries, which felt that the e-business related questions were not applicable to them. Also, small companies from the crafts & trade sector, which often have just a computer but no network at all felt that the questionnaire was not sufficiently adapted to their activities.

- A related issue is that there are some compromises to be made if the same questionnaire should be used for micro-enterprises as well as for large companies. Some of the questions, while only scratching the surface of e-business activities in large companies, are hardly relevant for micro-enterprises with less than 10 employees. The Hungarian survey company, for instance, reported that some questions seemed to have little relevance for companies with only one or a few employees.
- Finally, an issue which was known in advance but is unavoidable in telephone interviews is that there is no "ideal target person" to be interviewed. Fieldwork organisations reported that sometimes a data processing manager is not very aware of the consequences of e-business on the whole of the company, on the personnel level and on the financial level. On the other hand, the general manager may not always be aware of the technical implementation status. The Irish field work organisation, for instance, reported that some of the smaller companies were not familiar with technical terms such as used for standards ("EDI" or "EDIFACT").

Weighting principles

Two weighting schemes have been applied: weighting by employment and by the number of enterprises. Data are presented in either way depending on the kind of the analysis to be made.

- Values that are reported as weighted by employment should be read as "enterprises comprising x% of employees". To give an example: The indicator "percentage of companies selling online" – if weighted by employment – is defined as "companies comprising x% of employees sell online". The reason for using employment weighting is that there are very many more micro enterprises than non-micro enterprises. The unweighted figure would effectively represent mainly the smallest sizes of firm.
- Values that are reported as enterprise-weighted figures are to be read as "x% of enterprises", reflecting the number of enterprises as legal entities but not their relative economic importance in terms of employment.

Weighting was based on the latest available universe figures by Eurostat. Missing or undisclosed universe data had to be imputed. The imputation procedures depended on auxiliary or proxy data availability, taking into account where available information about higher industry aggregations, nearest neighbour data, turnover-employment correlation and secondary sources other than Eurostat and allowing for the constraint of predetermined ranges such that imputed data had to be contingent with published sectoral, national and European universe totals as well as for final plausibility checks for every single imputed data item. The weighting cells correspond to the data reporting pattern used as regards industries and employment size-classes. Uniform expansion factors are applied to enterprises within one of the four size-classes per industry per country. As for data that refer to a base other than the universe of all enterprises (e.g. indicators appropriately reported for online selling enterprises only), expansion factors are adjusted to the different shares of observations per cell that build the computation base.

Variables - indicators

The set of ICT and e-business indicators for which data were collected in this survey was organised into the following modules:

- Background information (basic company data, innovation activities)
- ICT infrastructure and e-skills development in the company
- E-commerce and e-business activities (internal business process automation, procurement and supply chain integration, exchange of standardised data between trading partners, marketing and sales activities, use of e-business software)
- Impact of e-business (impact of selling and procuring online, perceived effects on work processes, satisfaction with outcome)
- Assessment of future importance of various e-business technologies

The choice of indicators considers relevant statistical work by the OECD and Eurostat and includes a basic set of widely accepted measures for e-commerce and e-business, but also tries to introduce innovative indicators which have a pilot character and are not yet widely tested.

The full list of variables which was the basis for preparing the questionnaires can be downloaded (as a spreadsheet) from the *e-Business Watch* website (<http://www.ebusiness-watch.org>).